



FY2017 Detroit Continuum of Care Competition Ranking Policies

Eligibility

In order to be submitted to HUD for renewal, projects in the Detroit Continuum of Care seeking renewal funding must meet the following basic eligibility criteria:

- 1) Submit completed renewal application and additional required documents to HAND as outlined in this document.
- 2) Meet the threshold score of at least 70% on their renewal project application or have been approved by the Appeals Committee to still be submitted for renewal if under threshold score.
- 3) Meet the HUD application deadlines (ie, entry into eSNAPS) set by the CoC.
- 4) Projects that were required by the CoC to participate in technical assistance in previous competition years must be compliant with all requirements in the projects' technical assistance plan.
- 5) Meet all HUD eligibility criteria, as outlined in the FY2017 CoC Program NOFA (to be released), the July 2012 CoC Program Interim HEARTH Regulations, and other official documents published by HUD.
- 6) A representative from the organization must attend the **June 9, 2017** Detroit CoC grantee meeting, held at **10:30 AM** at 16130 Northland Dr, Southfield, MI (The Salvation Army Southeastern Michigan Division Headquarters).

Exclusion or Removal from Project Ranking List

The Detroit CoC reserves the right to exclude or remove a renewal project from the project ranking list, and consequently not submit a project for renewal funding, in the event of written notification from the local HUD Field Office that the project has been out of compliance with regulatory or programmatic requirements and has made no progress on any corrective actions as required by HUD.

Any renewal projects excluded or removed from the project ranking list will be reallocated to a new project(s).

FY2017 Detroit CoC Project Ranking Policies

Projects seeking CoC funding in the FY2017 competition will be ranked in the following order:

1. The CoC's renewal infrastructure projects will be ranked first, in the following order:
 - a. HMIS renewal projects in by overall percentage scored on the application, from highest to lowest.
 - b. SSO projects dedicated to Coordinated Assessment Model (CAM) by overall percentage scored on the application, from highest to lowest.
2. New project(s) created via reallocation in FY2017 in the following order:
 - a. PH-PSH project(s) in the following order:
 - i. Highest overall percentage scored on the application.
 - ii. If needed as a tie breaker, in order of the time the application was submitted to HAND, from first submitted to last.
 - b. PH-RRH project(s) in the following order:
 - i. Highest overall percentage scored on the application.

- ii. If needed as a tie breaker, in order of the time the application was submitted to HAND, from first submitted to last.
 - c. TH-RRH combination project(s) in the following order:
 - i. Highest overall percentage scored on the application.
 - ii. If needed as a tie breaker, in order of the time the application was submitted to HAND, from first submitted to last.
 - *NOTE: The CoC Board may determine it will not consider new applications for this project type.*
 - d. Supportive Services Only (SSO) projects dedicated for Coordinated Entry in the following order:
 - i. Highest overall percentage scored on the application.
 - ii. If needed as a tie breaker, in order of the time the application was submitted to HAND, from first submitted to last.
 - *NOTE: The CoC Board may determine it will not consider new applications for this project type.*
3. New Permanent Housing Bonus Project(s) ranked in the following order:
 - a. Highest overall percentage scored on the application.
 - b. If needed as a tie breaker, in order of the time the application was submitted to HAND, from first submitted to last.
 4. First time renewal projects in in the following order:
 - a. First time renewal PH-PSH project(s) by overall percentage scored on the application, from highest to lowest.
 - i. If needed as a tie breaker, in order of the time application was submitted to HAND, from first submitted to last.
 - b. First time renewal PH-RRH project(s) by overall percentage scored on the application, from highest to lowest.
 - i. If needed as a tie breaker, in order of the time application was submitted to HAND, from first submitted to last.
 5. Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) projects for which at least 50% of the clients served over the last year (Jan – Dec 2016) were chronically homeless ranked by overall percentage scored on the renewal application, from highest to lowest.
 6. Remaining Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) projects and all Rapid Rehousing (RRH) by overall percentage scored on the renewal application, from highest to lowest.
 7. All Transitional Housing (TH) projects by overall percentage scored on the renewal application, from highest to lowest.
 8. All Supportive Services Only (SSO) projects not designated for Coordinated Entry (CAM) by the overall percentage scored on the renewal application, from highest to lowest.

Tie-Breaking Criteria for Ranking Policies 5 through 8

Tie-breakers for ranking policies 5 through 8 will be applied in the following order:

1. First tie-breaker: the *percentage* on component 2A of the project-specific housing performance in the local application
2. Second tie-breaker: the *percentage* on component 2B of the project-specific housing performance in the local application
3. Third tie-breaker: the *percentage* on component 1A of the project performance in the local application (leaving with source of cash income)

4. Fourth tie-breaker: the *percentage* on component 1B of the project performance in the local application (leaving with source of non-cash income)

Project-Specific Housing Performance

“Project-specific housing performance” refers to scored component #2 of the local application. This component is further divided into 2 sub-components (parts A and B). The specific performance criteria being measured depends upon the project type, as different project types have different housing- focused performance expectations.

Projects that Straddle Tier 1/Tier 2

If a project, once listed in ranked order, straddles the Tier 1/Tier 2 funding line, the following policy will apply: If a project is straddling the line – that is, a portion of the project budget falls within Tier 1 and a portion falls within Tier 2 – that project will be asked if the project would still be feasible if it was only funded for the amount in Tier 1.

1. If the project indicates that it would still be feasible at the reduced amount, it will be required to submit in writing how the project would remain feasible.
2. The Values & Funding Priorities Committee will review the feasibility plan, and decide whether the project would be feasible at the reduced amount. If the Committee decides it will be feasible, the project will be submitted as is, straddling the Tier 1/Tier 2 line.
3. If the Committee decides that the project would not be feasible at the reduced amount, or if the project itself indicates that it would not be feasible at the reduced amount, that project will be dropped down so that it wholly fits into Tier 2, and the next ranked project will have the same opportunity to show feasibility if straddling the line.
4. This process will continue until the following are realized:
 - a. All Tier 1 funds are allocated; OR
 - b. The amount of funds remaining in Tier 1 are a negligible amount. If this occurs, the CoC retains the discretion to allocate the remaining funds to another project in Tier 1 that can accept additional funds. The Collaborative Applicant will make a recommendation on this allocation; this recommendation will be reviewed and approved by the CoC Board before implementing.

Renewal Project Threshold Score

All projects applying for renewal funding will be evaluated and scored on a given point scale which will be given in the FY2017 CoC Application Policies. Renewal projects must score at least 70% of the points possible in order to be placed on the project ranking list. Renewal projects that do not score at least 70% will be able to submit an appeal in accordance with the Appeals Policy.

Renewal Project Appeals

Renewal projects that score less than the amount of points required for passing threshold may submit an appeal to the Appeals Committee. The Appeals Committee will review the appeal and make a recommendation to the CoC Board on whether or not the appeal should be granted. If the appeal is granted, the project will be submitted for funding and placed on the project ranking list in accordance with the ranking policies given above. If an appeal is not granted, the project will be reallocated to a new project(s). The reallocated funds will be available for organizations to apply for via a competitive Request for Proposals (RFP). Details on the appeals policy may be found the Appeals Policy.

Policy Review Post NOFA Release

The Detroit CoC Board approved the preliminary ranking policies on June 5, 2017 , prior to the release of the FY2017 CoC Program Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA). The preliminary policies were approved noting that adjustments may need to be made following the release of the FY2017 NOFA to ensure the policies aligned with, and did not contradict, the NOFA.

Final Ranking List Review and Recommendation

Following the submission, review, and scoring of all renewal and new project applications, a preliminary project ranking list will be developed in accordance with the above policies. This ranking list, with projects identified by name and type, will be reviewed by the Values & Funding Priorities Committee. The Values & Funding Priority Committee may recommend to the CoC board that a project(s) that would have been in Tier 2 because of the ranking policies instead be placed into Tier 1. If the Committee chooses to move a Tier 2 project up to Tier 1, it will need to provide rationale for the recommendation. The Committee will present its final recommended project ranking list to the CoC board in accordance with the timeframe required by HUD. The CoC board will make the final decision on the project ranking list.

Placement of Permanent Housing Bonus Project(s)

Following the submission, review, and scoring of all renewal and new project applications, a preliminary project ranking list will be developed in accordance with the above policies. This ranking list, with projects identified by name and type, will be reviewed by the Values & Funding Priorities Committee. The Values & Funding Priorities may recommend to the CoC Board that some or all of the new Permanent Housing Bonus project(s) that would have been placed in Tier 1 (ranking policy #3) instead be placed into Tier 2. If the Committee chooses to recommend this action, it will need to provide rationale for the recommendation. The Committee will present this recommendation to the CoC Board. The CoC Board will make the final decision.

FY2017 Detroit Continuum of Care Project Awards

Rank	Applicant Name	Project Name	Project Type	Amount Requested	Amount Awarded
TIER 1 PROJECTS					
RANKING POLICY #1: CoC Renewal Infrastructure					
1	Homeless Action Network of Detroit	HMIS	HMIS	\$194,078	\$194,078
2	Homeless Action Network of Detroit	Expansion HMIS	HMIS	\$96,155	\$96,155
3	Community & Home Supports	Coordinated Assessment & Navigation Project	CE-SSO	\$693,486	\$693,486
4	Southwest Counseling Solutions	Coordinated Assessment Project	CE-SSO	\$200,000	\$200,000
RANKING POLICY #2: New Projects Created via Reallocation					
5	Alternatives for Girls	Detroit Youth Collaborative RRH	RRH	\$261,517	\$272,137
6	Southwest Counseling Solutions	CE Collaborative SSO	CE-SSO	\$237,686	\$237,686
RANKING POLICY #3: New Projects Created with Permanent Housing Bonus					
	Policy Revision: Permanent Housing Bonus Projects Ranked in Tier 2				
RANKING POLICY #4: First Time Renewal Projects					
7	Community & Home Supports, Inc.	Permanent Community Home Support ¹	PSH	\$504,620	\$522,980
8	Detroit Central City CMH	Leasing Project FY2017	PSH	\$604,823	\$629,043
9	Neighborhood Legal Services Michigan	Project Hope	PSH	\$521,703	\$545,535
10	Neighborhood Legal Services Michigan	Project Hope II - PSH	PSH	\$713,343	\$744,807
11	Neighborhood Legal Services Michigan	NLSM CARES	RRH	\$839,838	\$872,022
12	Southwest Counseling Solutions	CE SSO ²	CE-SSO	\$321,655	\$321,655
13	Southwest Counseling Solutions	CAM SSO ³	CE-SSO	\$200,000	\$200,000
RANKING POLICY #5: Renewal PSH Served 50%+ Chronically Homeless in 2016					
14	Cass Community Social Services, Inc.	Webb Street Permanent Supportive Housing	PSH	\$207,222	\$215,412
15	Southwest Counseling Solutions, Inc.	Housing Recovery Program	PSH	\$498,139	\$520,812
16	Southwest Counseling Solutions	Southwest Samaritan S+C III	PSH	\$395,945	\$420,197
17	Detroit Wayne Mental Health Authority	S+C Southwest Solutions Matrix	PSH	\$42,281	\$44,861
18	Southwest Counseling Solutions	Intensive Case Management CH CAM	PSH	\$370,694	\$384,016
19	Southwest Counseling Solutions	Southwest Chronically Homeless II S+C	PSH	\$490,464	\$520,392
20	Neighborhood Service Organization	NSO/COTS Chronic Renewal	PSH	\$99,468	\$105,696
RANKING POLICY #6: PSH Projects Serving <50% Chronically Homeless in 2016 and RRH					
21	Cass Community Social Services, Inc.	Scott Permanent Supportive Housing	PSH	\$198,008	\$205,833
22	Detroit Rescue Mission Ministries	Cornerstone	PSH	\$466,048	\$484,444

FY2017 Detroit Continuum of Care Project Awards

Rank	Applicant Name	Project Name	Project Type	Amount Requested	Amount Awarded
23	Cass Community Social Services, Inc.	Cass Apartments Permanent Supportive Housing	PSH	\$329,328	\$344,592
24	Coalition On Temporary Shelter	Buermeyer Manor 2017	PSH	\$141,686	\$144,667
25	MI Dept of Health and Human Services	Development Centers and others Renewal	PSH	\$2,399,976	\$2,533,788
26	Southwest Counseling Solutions, Inc.	CAM Rapid ReHousing	RRH	\$364,695	\$378,855
27	Detroit Wayne Mental Health Authority	Shelter Plus Care-Detroit Central City	PSH	\$317,635	\$335,611
28	Travelers Aid Society of Metro Detroit	BEIT	PSH	\$925,290	\$957,519
29	Travelers Aid Society of Metro Detroit	Infinity	PSH	\$1,001,000	\$1,035,876
30	Neighborhood Legal Services Michigan	Project Permanency One	RRH	\$890,659	\$924,499
31	Detroit Central City CMH, Inc.	FY2017 CoC PSH Program	PSH	\$1,076,080	\$1,113,104
32	Coalition On Temporary Shelter	Pathways to Housing	PSH	\$732,783	\$761,627
33	Detroit Rescue Mission Ministries	My Own Place	PSH	\$235,772	\$244,661
34	Detroit Wayne Mental Health Authority	S+C Southwest -0110 (SW Housing Partners S+C)	PSH	\$235,744	\$249,196
35	Detroit Rescue Mission Ministries	Maranatha	PSH	\$425,846	\$444,353
36	Detroit Wayne Mental Health Authority	Supportive Housing Program - DCI/COTS Omega	PSH	\$481,412	\$496,932
37	Southwest Housing Solutions	Wilshire Apartments	PSH	\$136,752	\$140,505
38	Mariners Inn	Mariners Inn Permanent Housing	PSH	\$248,628	\$248,938
39	Southwest Counseling Solutions	Southwest Springwells S+C	PSH	\$198,377	\$209,429
40	Detroit Rescue Mission Ministries	Douglass Project	PSH	\$561,332	\$584,119
41	Detroit Wayne Mental Health Authority	SHP Detroit Central City Permanent Housing	PSH	\$426,328	\$440,124
42	Neighborhood Service Organization	Bell Supportive Housing Project	PSH	\$520,401	\$542,414
43	Neighborhood Service Organization	Detroit FUSE	PSH	\$211,218	\$224,118
44	Neighborhood Service Organization	NSO RRH	RRH	\$285,118	\$295,954
45	Neighborhood Service Organization	Supportive Housing Program	PSH	\$340,012	\$355,352
RANKING POLICY #7: Transitional Housing Projects					
46	Community Social Services of Wayne County	Teen Infant Parenting Services Program	TH	\$362,392	\$362,392
47	Detroit Rescue Mission Ministries	Genesis House II	TH	\$1,077,868	\$1,077,868
48	Freedom House Detroit	New Beginnings/New American:	TH	\$390,841	\$390,841
49	Detroit Rescue Mission Ministries	Genesis House I / Teen Moms: Tier 1 Amount ⁴	TH	\$329,655	\$329,655
Tier 1 Limit: \$22,804,001					
TIER 2 PROJECTS					
49	Detroit Rescue Mission Ministries	Genesis House I / Teen Moms: Tier 2 Amount	TH	\$84,802	\$84,802
REVISED RANKING POLICY New Projects Created with Permanent Housing Bonus⁵					
50	Community & Home Supports	Permanent Community Home Support II	PSH	\$555,364	\$577,495
51	Cass Community Social Services	Travis PSH	PSH	\$368,155	\$382,887

FY2017 Detroit Continuum of Care Project Awards

Rank	Applicant Name	Project Name	Project Type	Amount Requested	Amount Awarded
52	Neighborhood Legal Services Michigan	Project Permanency One Expansion	RRH	\$196,235	\$202,655
53	Detroit Rescue Mission Ministries	Compassion Village PSH	PSH	\$335,821	\$350,652
RANKING POLICY #8: Supportive Services Only Projects					
54	Community Social Services of Wayne County	Teen Empowerment Program	SSO	\$184,873	Not selected by HUD for funding
55	Detroit Wayne Mental Health Authority	SHP Detroit Central City New Beginnings	SSO	\$130,248	Not selected by HUD for funding
56	United Community Housing Coalition	Permanent Supportive Housing for the Homeless	SSO	\$580,310	Not selected by HUD for funding
57	United Community Housing Coalition	Target Homes	SSO	\$475,341	Not selected by HUD for funding
TOTAL PROJECTS APPLIED FOR AND AWARDED				\$25,715,150	\$25,196,727

CoC Planning (Not included in ranking list)		Amount Requested	Amount Awarded
HAND: CoC Planning	Planning	\$265,000	\$265,000

GRAND TOTAL FY2017 CoC AWARDS \$25,461,727

Notes

¹Project is a second-time renewal, but being treated as a first-time renewal due to starting in late 2016

²Project is a first time renewal. Ranking policies did not specify placement of first-time renewal CE-SSO projects; project being ranked with other first-time renewals.

³Project is a second-time renewal, but being treated as a first-time renewal due to starting in late 2016

⁴Project #49 straddles the Tier 1/Tier 2 funding line. For purposes of illustrating the tier breakdown, it is listed in each tier with its respective tier amount. It will be funded as one project.

⁵As allowed per the ranking policies, the CoC board made the decision to rank the new Permanent Housing Bonus projects in Tier 2, rather than in accordance with the initial policy.